

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,221	07/18/2003	Martin O'Sullivan	. 50571/AW/W112	4670
	7590 02/01/200 <sup>.</sup> RKER & HALE, LLP	1	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 7068			ROANE, AARON F	
rasadena, C	PASADENA, CA 91109-7068 ART UNIT PAPE		PAPER NUMBER	
			3739	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	02/01/2007	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/622,221	O'SULLIVAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Aaron Roane	3739			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAP ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja	anuary 2007.	•			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·				
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,9-17,19 and 22-29</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4,9-17,19 and 22-29 is/are rejecte	ed.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	<b>,</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.			
	•		8.			
Attachmen		_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
_	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/622,221

Art Unit: 3739

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 9-17, 19 and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox et al. (USPN 6,161,543) in view of Whayne et al. (USPN 6,203,525 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 17, Cox et al. disclose a catheter for ablating tissue via cryogenic or RF energy delivery, the catheter comprising an elongated generally-tubular catheter body (66 in figure 4 and its analogous counterparts in other embodiments) having proximal and distal ends; and an ablation assembly (distal portion of 66 including 70, see figure 4 and its analogous counterparts in other embodiments) at the distal end of the catheter body, the ablation assembly including a generally-straight ablation arrangement that is generally transverse to the catheter body (see figures 4, 6A-D, 24, 27, 28, 33, 34 and 45), the ablation arrangement comprising: a non-conductive tubing (distal tubing 89 in figures 7 and 8A-C and its analogous counterpart in other embodiments) mounted on-the distal end of the catheter, the non-conductive tubing forms a generally

heel-shaped curve comprising a first bend away from the axis of the catheter body and a second bend back toward and past the axis of the catheter body and terminates in a generally straight distal end which end is generally transverse to the axis of the catheter body. Although, Cox et al. fail to disclose that the ablation assembly is a porous electrode, Cox et al. do disclose the use of various alternative/equivalent ablation energy delivery forms/means including cryogenic and RF, see col. 3, lines 36-44. It should further be noted that Cox et al. disclose the generally straight distal end of the nonconductive tubing forms an angle with the axis of the catheter body ranging from about 75° to about 110° (see figures 4, 6A-D, 24, 27, 28, 33, 34 and 45). Whayne et al. disclose a catheter for ablating tissue and teach providing the device with a porous electrode assembly (362 in figure 36-39) mounted on non-conductive tubing (distal tubing 28 in figure 3A and its analogous counterpart 370 in the embodiment illustrated in figure 36), comprising a porous sleeve (364) mounted in surrounding relation to the one or more electrodes; and one or more irrigation openings (374) fluidly connecting the open space to a lumen (lumen of 370) extending through the catheter through which fluid can pass; wherein, in use, fluid passes through the lumen in the catheter, through the one or more irrigation openings, into the open space and through the porous sleeve, see col. 5, 6 and 21-23 and figures 1 and 36-39. Whayne et al. further disclose the one or more electrodes comprises a single coiled electrode (22/372) wrapped around a portion of the nonconductive tubing, see col. 5-8 and 23. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Cox et al., as taught by Whayne et al., to provide a porous electrode assembly located at the distal end of the catheter to serve as an RF ablation electrode in or to form lesions on the tissue.

Regarding claims 4 and 19, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, Whayne et al. further disclose the porous sleeve has proximal and distal ends that are bonded to the non-conductive tubing, see figure 38.

Regarding claim 6, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, Whayne et al. further disclose the generally straight porous electrode forms an angle with the axis of the catheter body ranging from about 75° to about 110°, see col. 5-23 and figures 1-39.

Regarding claims 9, 10, 22 and 23, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, Whayne et al. further the porous sleeve comprises a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) that is expandable to no more than 10% at a distilled water flow rate of 30 to 40 cc/min, see col. 21 and 22.

Regarding claims 11 and 24, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, Whayne et al. further disclose the porous sleeve comprises a material selected from the group consisting of porous nylon, sintered ceramics, woven meshes and cellular foam, see col. 22, line 39-57.

Regarding claims 12, 13, 25 and 26, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, see col. 5-25 and particularly col. 23.

Regarding claims 14 and 27, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, Whayne et al. further disclose the electrode assembly further comprises one or more ring electrodes (the right electrode 372 in figures 37 and 38) mounted proximal and/or distal to the porous electrode (the left and center electrodes 372 in figures 37 and 38).

Regarding claims 15, 16, 28 and 29, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, Whayne et al. further disclose the electrode assembly further comprises one or more temperature sensors (see for example 292 figure 29), wherein the one or more temperature sensors are mounted under the porous sleeve, see col. 23-25 and figure 29.

Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox et al. (USPN 6,161,543) in view of Whayne et al. (USPN 6,203,525 B1) as applied to claim 28 above, and further in view of Fung et al. (USPN 6,120,476).

Regarding claims 30 and 31, Cox et al. in view of Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention except that a pre-shaped support wire made of nitinol extends through a second

Application/Control Number: 10/622,221

Art Unit: 3739

Page 6

lumen of the non-conductive tubing. Whayne et al. clearly discloses a first lumen (lumen of 370) used for irrigation, see col. 5, 6 and 21-23 and figures 1 and 36-38. Whayne et al. also disclose the use of a nitinol pre-shaped support wire (26) located in a lumen of a non-conductive tubing (28 and/or 28'). However, Whayne et al. do not disclose simultaneously disposing a nitinol pre-shaped support wire in one lumen of the nonconductive tubing and the having an irrigating pathway/passage in other separate lumen. Fung et al. disclose an irrigated tip-catheter that has at least two lumens and teach the provision of the non-conductive tubing (19) upon which electrodes (38) are placed with three lumens 30, 32 and 34, see col. 4-6 and figure 3. Additionally, Fung et al. teach the simultaneous provision of a pre-shaped nitinol wire (42) placed in one lumen (32) in order to provide steerability/deflection of the device and the use of another lumen (34) as an irrigation lumen in order to provide infusion, see col. 4-6 and figure 3. Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to modify the invention of Cox et al. Whayne et al., as taught by Fung et al., to simultaneously provide the pre-shaped nitinol wire placed in one lumen in order to provide steerability/deflection of the device and the use of another lumen as an irrigation lumen in order to provide infusion.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox et al. (USPN 6,161,543) in view of Whayne et al. (USPN 6,203,525 B1) as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Swanson et al. (USPN 5,961,513).

Regarding claim 32, Cox et al. Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention except for reciting the one or more irrigation openings are located only on the side of the porous electrode that is to be in contact with tissue to be ablated. It is well known in the art to place or provide holes/pores of a porous material in a particular pattern and/or on a side of the otherwise porous material in order to achieve a particular ablation pattern.

Swanson et al. disclose a tissue heating and/or ablating device and teach providing the expandable porous element (22) with holes/pores located on one side in order to achieve a particular ablation pattern and/or serve as a sensing surface, see col. 5-21 and figures 1-14. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Cox et al. Whayne et al., as is known in the art and taught by Swanson et al., to provide the expandable porous element with holes/pores located on one side in order to achieve a particular ablation pattern and/or serve as a sensing surface.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/10/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On page 8, the last paragraph, Applicant asserts "Whayne fails to disclose a single, continuous coiled electrode wrapped around at least a portion of the non-conductive tubing, as recited in amended independent claims 1 and 17. Rather, Whayne appears to disclose multiple electrode elements arranged in a spaced apart, segmented relationship. See Column 6, lines 32-

35 and 43-46 and Column 21, lines 50-54. Indeed, Whayne must employ multiple electrode elements in order to operate them in a bipolar mode, as described at Column 6, lines 58-61." It should be noted that Whayne et al. disclose a large number of different electrode embodiments including "when the electrode elements 22 are flexible, each element 22 can be as long as 50 mm. Thus, if desired, a single coil electrode element 22 can extend uninterrupted along the entire length of the support structure," see col. 23, lines 40-46. It should be further noted that electrodes 372 of figures 36-40 are analogous to electrodes 22 of figures 1-17, see col. 21, lines 42-49. As figure 37 clearly shows electrodes 372, which are analogous to electrodes 22, is mounted about and around lumen/tubing 370, which is analogous to electrically nonconductive tubing 28 (used for delivering the ionic medium). Therefore, Whayne et al., in fact discloses a single coil electrode wrapped around a portion of the nonconductive tubing, contrary to Applicant's assertion.

Therefore the rejections are reaffirmed and this action is made FINAL.

## **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Roane whose telephone number is (571) 272-4771. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aaron Roane A January 22, 2007

MICHAEL PERFE